

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,517	10/24/2003	Hong Zhang	9-13528-204US	7428
20988 OGILVY REN	7590 10/01/200 AULTLLP	EXAMINER		
1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE			PARK, JUNG H	
SUITE 1600 MONTREAL,	OC H3A2Y3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	Ç	2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/691,517		ZHANG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JUNG PARK	2619		

	JUNG PARK	2619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a value of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	adding of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The Arthur Grown and the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619	/Jung Park/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Ma fails to disclose "a subset intransitivity constraint on allowable paths through the data network, wherein each one of two adjacent links have sufficient capacity to convey traffic but it is not possible to transmit that traffic through both links in sequence by saying that the network of Ma is a packet switched network, such as IP network, in which "subset intransivitiy constraints" simply do not exist because the pakcet network is based on a bop-by-hop.

In reply, Ma discloses a routing algorithm for best effort service and the routing is optimized for a single metric such a hop count. That is, there is no connection state information maintained inside the network (see col.11, in.11-51). However, Ma further discloses the virtual circuit network where a connection is setup before data transmission start. That is, each one of two adjacent links have sufficient capacity to convey traffic, but it is not possible to transmit that traffice through both links in sequence because all pakect no connection are transmitted in sequence from the source to destionation based on the network state information as described in col.11, in.35-51. Also, Ma discloses that there are many different possible routes from each source of seal destination is performed by routing algorithm such as Distrat's algorithm to contruct paths based on global estimates of individual link costs. That is, even though adjacent links A-B and B-C have sufficient capacity to carry the traffice flow, where pithing map has performed by the algorithm can not be A-B to B-C. Therefore, Me explicitly discloses "a subset intransitive constraints, wherein each one of two adjacent links have sufficient capacity to convey traffic but it is not possible to transmit that traffic through both links in sequence." Therefore, the examiner respectively disagrees.